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An estimate of the time necessary for the phase points of a dynamical system to reach a specified finite domain, containing an asymptotically stable solution, from any initial position belonging to the specified domain is obtained with the sole assumption that the derivative of the Lyapunov function for autonomous second-order systems and for certain higher-order systems has a negative sign. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

In [1] an estimate of the upper limit of the time was obtained in the case when the Lyapunov function has a strictly negative derivative.

1. Suppose the origin of the coordinates (the point $O$ ) is an asymptotically stable solution of the system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=X(x, y), \dot{y}=Y(x, y) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which a positive-definite Lyapunov function $V(x, y)$ is known such that $V(x, y) \leqslant 0$ along the solutions.
We shall now estimate the time of motion of any phase point lying on the boundary of a domain $G_{0}$, which is defined by the equation $V(x, y)=C_{0}$ up to the boundary of a specified domain $G *$, which is defined by the equation $V(x, y)=C$.
We will denote the lines of the family $V(x, y)=C$, corresponding to the parameter $C$, and, atso, their lengths by $l(C)$.

Suppose the origin of coordinates is a singular point of the stable-focus type, and the phase point which coincides with the point $A_{0}$ (Fig. 1) at the time $t=0$ makes one complete circuit around the origin of coordinates after a time $t_{0}$ along a trajectory of length $\gamma$, where this trajectory is not closed. (By a "complete circuit", we mean a motion in which the start and the finish of the trajectory $\gamma$ lie on the same line of the family $L(\beta)$ which is orthogonal to $l(C)$.

Suppose $l(C)$ is a family of smooth convex curves when $C \leqslant C_{0}$ and the trajectory $\gamma$ is convex with respect to the point $O$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \leqslant l\left(C_{0}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be shown to be so directly if $l\left(C_{0}\right)$ is a circle of radius $\rho_{0}$ and $\gamma$ is a logarithmic spiral and the difference between the increments in the arcs of the circle and the spiral is equal to

$$
\rho_{0}\left(1-\cos \alpha_{0}\right) \varphi+O\left(\varphi^{2}\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{0}$ is the acute angle between the tangents to the circle and the spiral, and $\varphi$ is the polar angle of the radius vector, measured from the point of intersection of the curves in the direction of the convolution of the spiral.

In the general case, suppose $\Gamma$ is a line (or several lines) in which $\dot{V}(x, y)=0$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are lines which are obtained, for example, from $\Gamma$ by rotation through a small angle (or are represented in the form $y=(1 \pm \delta) \Phi(x)$, where $y=\Phi(x)$ is the equation of the line $\Gamma$ and $\delta$ is a small positive number (Fig. 1)).

Suppose $B$ is the set of sectors, included between the lines $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ and containing $\Gamma$. The arcs of $\gamma$ and $l(C)$ touch on the line $\Gamma$. Hence, within $B$, they are equal to an accuracy of $o(\delta)$. Outside the domains $B$ and $G$ *, the trajectory $\gamma$ intersects the lines $l(C)$ at an angle $\alpha_{C} \geqslant \alpha_{0}>0$.

Suppose $O_{0}$ is the centre of curvature for $l\left(C_{0}\right)$ at a point $A_{0} \notin B$. In a small circle $K_{0}$ of radius $\delta_{0}$ with its centre at this point, the family of lines $l(C)$ can be approximated by a family of circles with a common centre $O_{0}$ and the line $\gamma$ is a logarithmic spiral to an accuracy o( $\delta_{0}$ ). A ray traced from the point $O_{0}$ through a point $A_{1} \notin \gamma \cap K_{0}$ intersects the arc $A_{0} A^{\prime}$, which must be greater than the $\operatorname{arc} A_{0} A_{1}$ of the line $\gamma$, in $A_{1} \notin B$, we determine the centre of curvature $O_{1}$ of the line $l\left(C_{1}\right) \ni A_{1}$ and a circle $K_{1}$ of radius $\delta_{1}$, a point $A_{2} \notin \gamma \cap K_{2}$ and the ray $O_{1} A_{2}$. In $l\left(C_{1}\right)$, this ray intersects the $\operatorname{arc} A_{1} A^{\prime}$, which must be smaller than the $\operatorname{arc} A_{1} A_{2} \in \gamma$ since the latter arc is also approximated by an element of a logarithmic spiral.


Fig. 1.

Suppose that $L\left(\beta_{1}\right)$, a line of the family $L(\beta)$ which passes through the point $A_{1}^{\prime}$, intersects the line $l\left(C_{0}\right)$ at the point $A_{0}^{\prime}$. By virtue of the convexity of $l(C)$, the arc $A_{0}^{\prime} A_{10}^{\prime}$ is greater than the $\operatorname{arc} A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}$. If $A_{1} \in B$, the intersection of $\gamma$ with $\Gamma_{1}$ can be taken as the point $A_{2}$.
So, on continuing to move along $\gamma$, the line $l\left(C_{0}\right)$ is subdivided into the sum of the arcs $A_{0} A_{0}^{\prime}, A_{0}^{\prime} A_{10}^{\prime}, \ldots$, each of which, to an accuracy of $o\left(\delta_{k}\right)$, is greater than the corresponding element of the line $\gamma$. On taking the limit as $n \in \infty\left(\max \left(\delta, \delta_{0}, \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0\right)$, we obtain inequality (1.2).
Similar reasoring leads to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\left(C_{*}\right) \leqslant \gamma \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption made above regarding the convexity of the curve $\gamma$ can be discarded if $\gamma$ intersects all the lines $l(C)$ at an acute angle ( $\alpha_{C}<\pi / 2$ ).

In fact, suppose a certain trajectory $\gamma^{\prime}$ (Fig. 1) is concave between the points $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$. By assumption, the angle $\alpha_{1}>\alpha_{0}$ at the point $E_{1}$. A ray can then be drawn from the point $E_{1}$ at an angle of $\alpha_{0} / 2$ to the tangent to $\gamma^{\prime}$ which intersects the $\operatorname{arc} E_{1} E_{2}$ at a certain point $E$. On specularly reflecting the $\operatorname{arc} E_{1} E$ with respect to the above-mentioned arc, we obtain a convex arc $E_{1} E$ (the dashed curve in Fig. 1) which intersects the line $l(C)$ at an acute angle. Consequently, the arguments presented above can be applied to this arc. The procedure which has been described can be repeated at the point $E$, if this point does not lie in the sector $B$. Hence, after a finite number of steps, we arrive at the point $E_{2}$.
We will now show that the condition $\alpha_{c}<\pi / 2$ is satisfied in the case of one-degree-of-freedom mechanical systems.
The motion of such a system is described by the equations

$$
\dot{x}=y, \dot{y}=-b(x, y)-c(x)
$$

where $b(x, y) \geqslant 0$ and $c(x) \geqslant 0$ are dissipative and conservative forces respectively. The angle $\alpha_{c}$ between the phase velocity vector $u$ and the vector $v_{0}$ tangent to the line $l(C)$, which, in this case, is also described by the equation that has been given when $b(x, y) \forall 0$, remains acute while the scalar product $\mathrm{v}_{0} \geqslant 0$ or $y^{2}+c^{2}(x)+c(x) b(x, y) \geqslant 0$, which is certainly satisfied. The requirement $\mathrm{vu}_{0} \geqslant 0$ remains for equations of general form.
After a time $t_{0}$, the parameter $C_{0}$ decreases by an amount $\Delta C(\Delta C>0)$. On the basis of inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) it is possible to formulate the following inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& l\left(C^{0}\right) / W_{M}<t_{0}<l\left(C_{0}\right) / W_{m}  \tag{1.4}\\
& C^{0}=C_{0}-\Delta C, W_{m}=\inf _{G_{00}} W, W_{M}=\sup _{G_{00}} W, W=\sqrt{X^{2}+Y^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

( $G_{00}=G_{0} \mid G^{0}$ is the annular domain between the lines $l\left(C_{0}\right)$ and $l\left(C^{0}\right)$ ).
The value $W_{M}$ is often attained on the line $l\left(C_{0}\right)$. For some simplication, this case will be considered further.
The time of motion $t_{B}$ of a phase point through the sectors $B$ can be estimated by the inequality $t_{B} \leqslant l_{B} / W_{B}$, where $l_{B}$ is the sum of the lengths of the portions of the line $l\left(C_{0}\right)$ lying in $B$ and $W_{B}=\inf W$ in the domain $B_{0}=$ $B \cap G_{00}$.

Then

$$
\Delta C=-\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \dot{V} d t \geqslant \mu\left(t_{0}-t_{B}\right), \mu=\inf _{B_{0}}|\dot{V}|
$$

or, using (1.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta C \geqslant \mu\left[l\left(C^{0}\right) / W_{M}-l_{B} / W_{B}\right] \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this inequality depends on the choice of the width of the band $B$, that is, the right-hand side can be maximized by the choice of $l_{B}$. However, in the first step, the choice of $l_{B}$ must be such that the right-hand side of (1.5) is negative for any $\Delta C \leqslant C_{0}-C_{*}$. For this purpose, it is sufficient to take $l_{B}=l_{B^{*}}=l\left(C_{*}\right) W_{m^{*}} / W_{M}, W_{m^{*}}=$ inf $W$ in the domain $G_{0^{*}}=G_{0} \mid G_{.}$.

When $l_{B^{*}} \leqslant l_{B} \leqslant l\left(C_{0}\right)$, a certain (or, better, the maximum) value of $\Delta C$, which satisfies the inequality (1.5), is denoted by $\Delta C$.

Remark. The right-hand side of (1.5) in the case of fixed $l_{B}$. increases, starting from zero, and the left-hand side of $\Delta C=C_{0}-C^{0}$ decreases as $C^{0}$ increases from $C$. to $C_{0}$. A value $C^{0}=C^{0 *}$ is therefore found for which inequality (1.5) becomes an equality. The corresponding value for $\Delta C$ can be taken as $\Delta C$. but $\Delta C$ can still be maximized by a new choice of $l_{B}$ in the interval $\left[l_{B^{*}}, l_{B 0}\right], l_{B 0}=l\left(C^{0 *}\right) W_{m 0} W_{M}, W_{m 0}=\inf W$ in the domain between the lines $l\left(C_{0}\right)$ and $l\left(C_{0^{*}}\right)$ (instead of fixing $l_{B}=L_{B^{*}}$ as was done in the first step).

The following estimate can be given for the time $t_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left[\Delta C_{*} / M ; \|\left(C_{*}\right) / W_{M}\right] \leqslant l_{0} \leqslant \min \left[\left(C_{0}-C_{*}\right) / \mu+l_{B} / W_{B} ; l\left(C_{0}\right) / W_{m}\right] \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M=\sup \left[\Delta C \cdot / M ; l\left(C_{.}\right) / W_{M}\right]$ in the domain $G_{0}{ }^{*}$.
Next, starting from the value of $C=C_{0}-\Delta C$., we obtain an estimate of the time of motion of a phase point in the second loop and so on until it enters the domain $G *$. (Hence, in estimating the time of motion of a phase point until it enters the domain G., an upper estimate of both the time of motion in the loop as well as the number of loops is made.)

The estimates which have been given are also suitable for an unstable focus or node if one is interested in the time of departure from the domain $G_{0^{*}}$.

Example. Suppose the motion of a pendulum with a dissipative and non-linear elastic coupling is given in dimensionless form by the equations

$$
\dot{x}=y, \dot{y}=-y-2 x^{3}
$$

Here, $\left.W=\left[y^{2}+\left(y+2 x^{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}, V=x^{4}+y^{2}\right) / 2, \dot{V}=-y^{2}$ and the lines $V(x, y)=C$ are convex.
Suppose $C_{0}=10, C_{*}=5$. Then, $l(C)=$.16.8 (graphical solution) and $W_{m^{*}}=W_{B} \geqslant 4.5, W_{M}=18.9, l_{B^{*}}=4$.
We take the strip between the straight lines $y= \pm 1$ as the sector $B$. Then, $\mu=1$.
We now take $C^{0}=9$. Then, $l\left(C^{C}\right)=20.8, W_{B}=6.0$. In this case, the right-hand side of $(1.5)$ is equal to 0.43 which is still smaller than $\Delta C=C_{0}-C^{0}=1$. In the following step, we therefore put $C^{0}=9.5$. On calculating the right-hand side of (1.5) again, we obtain its value as 0.55 , which enables us to take $\Delta C \leqslant \leqslant 0.5$. Then, by (1.6), we obtain $0.9 \leqslant t_{0} \leqslant 5.37$.
2. In the case of multidimensional dynamical systems under conditions where Corduneanu's theorem [1] for estimating $T$, the time of motion of a phase point until it enters the specified domain, applies, we can use wellknown results [1, paragraphs a and b, p. 67]. In fact, from paragraph a in [1], we have

$$
a\left(\|x\| \leqslant u\left(t ; t_{0}, V\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right)\right.
$$

( $t_{0}$ is the initial instant of time). Fixing the finite domain to be reached as $\|x\| \leqslant b$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(b) \leqslant u\left(t_{0}+T, t_{0}, V\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An estimate for $T$ also follows from the last inequality.
Example 1 (an analogue of the example from [1, p. 68]). Consider the system

$$
\dot{x}=(-E t+A(t, x)) x
$$

where $E$ is the identity matrix and $A(t, x)$ is a skew symmetric matrix. Suppose $V=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}$. Then, $\dot{V}=2 x_{1}\left(-t x_{1}\right)+2 x_{2}\left(-t x_{2}\right)+\ldots=-2 V t$.
The solution $u=0$ of the scalar comparison equation $\dot{u}=2 u t$ (here, $\omega(t, u)=-2 u t$ and the inequality $V \leqslant \omega(t$, $V)$ is satisfied) is asymptotically stable, since the general solution $u=C \exp \left(-t^{2}\right)$.

We now put $t_{0}=0 ;\left\|x_{0}\right\|=e^{2} ; b=1$. Since $u\left(t_{0}\right)=u\left(t_{0} ; t_{0}, V\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right)$, then $u\left(t_{0}\right)=V\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}=e^{4}$. On the other hand, $u\left(t_{0}\right)=C \exp \left(-t_{0}^{2}\right)$, whence we have $C=e^{4}$ and $u(T)=\exp \left(4-T^{2}\right)$.

On taking account of the fact that, in the given case, $a(\|x\|)=V=\|x\|^{2}$, from inequality (2.1) we obtain

$$
T \leqslant 2
$$

Since the function $\dot{V}$ is negative definite in this example, an estimate of $T$ can be obtained from the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta V=-\int_{0}^{T} \dot{V} d t=2 \int_{0}^{T} V t d t \geqslant \inf _{C_{0_{0}}} V \cdot T^{2}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \Delta V=e^{4}-1, \inf _{C_{0_{0}}} V=2 ; T \leqslant 5
\end{align*}
$$

Example 2. For the system

$$
\dot{x}=\left(-E \sin ^{2} t+A(t, x)\right) x
$$

we have that $\dot{V}=-2 V \sin ^{2} t$, and inequality (2.2) cannot be used. However, using (2.1), we obtain $T \leqslant 4.5$.
Under the conditions where Matrosov's theorem [1, pp. 58 and 59] is applicable, it is also possible to obtain an estimate of $T$ using the basic estimates in the proof of this theorem. In fact, if we take the domain $C(\|x\|) \geqslant \eta$ to be the domain $G$ * (for the meanings of the new symbols used below, see [1]), it is possible to write $T \leqslant(K+1)$ ( $\tau_{2}-\tau_{1}$ ), where $\tau_{2}-\tau_{1}=2 L / \eta$ and the quantity $K$ is determined from the inequality

$$
C_{0}-C_{*} \geqslant K \min [2 L L \eta, T /(2 A)]
$$
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